System	Cost	Platform	Pros	Cons	Why to choose
FreeRADIUS	Free	* Linux (and similar e.g. Mac OS) * Packaged with most distributions	* Integrates with a wide range of authentication backends, including AD, LDAP, Kerberos, and multiple flavours of SQL. * Supports all EAP flavours commonly used for user authentication in govroam (EAP-PEAP, EAP-TLS, EAP-TTLS-PAP, EAP-TTLS-MSCHAPv2) * Flexible configuration language for defining complex policies. * Allows breakout into Perl or Python for exceptionally complex policies. Or integration with more escoteric data sources. * Extensible via plugin modules. * Supports RadSec natively. * Fast and efficient - a pair of RADIUS servers is usually sufficient for govroam deployments.	* Does not yet support DNS based Dynamic Discovery for RadSec (not yet relevant to govroam for ORPS deployments) * Can be difficult to configure due to the number of options available, especially for novice system administrators	* It's extreme flexibility and high performance means that FreeRADIUS is a good fit for most govroam sites, which is why it is the most deployed RADIUS servers within the

System	Cost	Platform	Pros	Cons	Why to choose
Microsoft NPS	Free with Windows	* Windows	* Windows GUI means no linux or scripting skills or experience needed * Works well with AD * Can be made to do the basics of the required job	* Doesn't support Chargeable User Identity * GUI interface	* If you're primarily a Windows shop you may be comfortable with the familiar interface and feel confident in selecting a fully supported product whilst accepting NPS's limitations.

https://wiki.govroam.uk/ Printed on 2025/07/31 14:07

System	Cost	Platform	Pros	Cons	Why to choose
OSC RADIATOR	From ~£1,000	* Linux * Windows	* Integrates with a wide range of authentication backends, including AD, LDAP, Kerberos, and multiple flavours of SQL. * Supports all EAP flavours commonly used for user authentication in govroam (EAP-PEAP, EAP-TTLS-MSCHAPv2). * Flexible configuration language for defining complex policies. * Supports RadSec natively. * A pair of RADIUS servers is usually sufficient for govroam deployments. * Fully supported product - a range of support options are available	* Written in PERL so when your configuration get large and complex the server will get slower.	* Its extreme flexibility means that RADIATOR is a good fit for most govroam sites. * The upshot of its popularity is that there are many technical guides already published which take some of the edge of the sharp learning curve and it is provided with a 'goodies' directory containing many recipes ready for use or to start off with. * If you need a flexible RADIUS server, and have the in house expertise to configure it, RADIATOR is a good choice * RADIATOR is written in PERL and can be run on Windows servers (with a prerequisite PERL interpreter installed) which would suit if you're primarily a Windows shop
Cisco ACS/ISE	From ~£1,000	* Appliance		* Doesn't support Status Server	* An obvious choice if site already makes heavy use of Cisco wireless.
Aruba Clearpass	From ~£4,000	* Appliance * VM			* FreeRADIUS under the bonnet with a GUI front end * An obvious choice if site already makes heavy use of Aruba wireless

System	Cost	Platform	Pros	Cons	Why to choose
radsecproxy	Free	* Linux (and similar) * Packaged with most distributions	* Very small foot print.  * Simple, flat configuration.  * Good performance.  * Supports all the requirements for govroam (e.g. attribute filtering, Operator- Name).  * Support RADSEC and non-RADSEC connections.	* Just a proxy - no ability to authenticate	* If your platform cannot do good filtering or add attributes then if you use this at the border to talk to the NRPS you can leverage these abilities.  * Can be easily dropped in as a pure ORPS.

From:

https://wiki.govroam.uk/ - Govroam

Permanent link:

https://wiki.govroam.uk/doku.php?id=siteadmin:radius\_server\_choice\_guide

Last update: 2022/09/07 10:12



https://wiki.govroam.uk/ Printed on 2025/07/31 14:07